

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/577,551	05/24/2000	Wayne K. Dunshee	53481USA1B	5353
75	590 05/24/2002			
Office of Intellectual Property Counsel			EXAMINER	
3M Innovative Properties Company P O Box 33427		NILAND, PATRICK DENNIS		
St Paul, MN 5:	5133-3427		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1714	

DATE MAILED: 05/24/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			4
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
•	09/577,551	DUNSHEE ET AL.	
· Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Patrick D. Niland	1714	
The MAILING DATE of this communicat	tion appears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence address	
Period for Reply	THE WAR OF TO EVOIDE AM	IONTH(S) EDOM	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) dated the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statute - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	TION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a leation. ays, a reply within the statutory minimum of thin try period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON by statute, cause the application to become Aleast the statute.	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>04 March 2002</u> .		
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL. 2b	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice	or allowance except for formal ma e under <i>Ex par</i> te Q <i>uayle</i> , 1935 C	Itters, prosecution as to the merits is D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 11-13,16-45 and 49-52 is/are			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are	withdrawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11-13,16-45 and 49-52</u> is/are	rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		•	
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	n and/or election requirement.		
Application Papers	*veminer		
9) The specification is objected to by the E		the Examiner	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a Applicant may not request that any object			
11) The proposed drawing correction filed of	on is: a) approved b)	disapproved by the Examiner.	
If approved, corrected drawings are requi		,	
12) The oath or declaration is objected to b			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	,		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	or foreign priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	. 1010.g., p. 101.		
1. Certified copies of the priority do	ocuments have been received.		
	ocuments have been received in	Application No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of	the priority documents have bee ional Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))	n received in this National Stage	
* See the attached detailed Office action	for a list of the certified copies no	it received.).

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)

Attachment(s)

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

6) Other:

a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Art Unit: 1714

- 1. The amendment of 3/4/02 has been entered. Claims 11-13, 16-45, and 49-52 are pending.
- 2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 11, 13, 16-21, 23, 24, 25-27, 30-33, and 39-43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US Pat. No. 5162141 Davey et al..

Davey et al. discloses a method falling within the scope of the instant claims 11, 13, 15-21, 23, 24, 25-27, 30-33, and 39-43 at the abstract; column 3, lines 19-42 and 58-65, many of these polymers contain elastomeric properties necessarily: column 4, lines 1-68; column 5, lines 1-31; column 6, lines 50-68, in which the polyurethane primer layer of Permuthane UE-40-570 is expected to necessarily possess a molecular weight within the broad range of the instant claims in order to function properly based on viscosity (definition of viscosity average molecular weight) and physical property (polymer texts relate physical properties to molecular weight) considerations. Since the image of the instant claims is not specified, the polyurethane printed

Art Unit: 1714

onto the elastomer of the patentee falls within the scope of the instantly claimed "image". The instant claims recite "comprising" and therefore encompass other layers of printing. This rejection is maintained.

5. Claims 11-13, 16-45 and 49-52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Pat. No. 5162141 Davey et al. in view of US Pat. No. 4334530 Hassell and EP 596503 Miyamoto et al..

Davey et al. discloses a method falling within the scope of the instant claims 11. 13. 16-21. 23. 24, 25-27, 30-33, and 39-43 at the abstract; column 3, lines 19-42 and 58-65, many of these polymers contain elastomeric properties necessarily: column 4, lines 1-68; column 5. lines 1-31; column 6, lines 50-68, in which the polyurethane primer layer of Permuthane UE-40-570 is expected to necessarily possess a molecular weight within the broad range of the instant claims in order to function properly based on viscosity (definition of viscosity average molecular weight) and physical property (polymer texts relate physical properties to molecular weight) considerations. Since the image of the instant claims is not specified, the polyurethane printed onto the elastomer of the patentee falls within the scope of the instantly claimed "image". The instant claims recite "comprising" and therefore encompass other layers of printing. Davey is not limited to flooring nor rigid substrates as argued by the applicant. Davey clearly specifies polymeric sheet generically, including sheets of polymers which are necessarily elastomeric. This clearly translates to coating bandages, as stated below. Besides, if it works on flooring, it would have been expected to work exceptionally on a bandage since the bandage does not get nearly the

Art Unit: 1714

stresses and strains as flooring. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the instant invention to coat a bandage with an image according to the instant claims because it is known to coat bandages with images as shown by Hassell, the color of the image is only a matter of preference, and the use of the instantly claimed primers and overcoats would have been expected to give the results stated by Davey et al. and Miyamoto et al.. It is noted that primer and overcoat would have been expected to contribute to abrasion resistance because primer adheres the layer more firmly by definition making it harder to scrape off and overcoat gives another layer that must be scraped off, each necessarily requiring more energy to scrape off resulting in abrasion resistance over the ink image not containing these layers.

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 1714

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Patrick Niland whose telephone number is (703) 308-3510. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 9:30 to 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor. Vasu Jagannathan, can be reached on (703) 306-2777. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-5408.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

pn

May 18, 2002

Patrick Niland

Primary Examiner Art Unit 1714